Combined IRIS and RHESSI observations to investigate continuum ("white light") emission

Lucia Kleint

University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland



University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

Flares: Principles



9 University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

Previous studies on WL height



Even if we see a source at the limb, its height is not trivial. Need STEREO to determine its position.

Krucker et al. 2015

In University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

Previous studies on WL height

RHESSI HXR and HMI white light emission for 3 different flares



Parameters of the WL (617.3 nm) and HXR (30-100 keV) Footpoints (Values of the Stronger Footpoint (see Figure 5) are Shown in Bold)

Parameters	2012 Jul 19	2012 Nov 20	2013 May 13
HMI time	05:21:40.7	12:39:26.5	02:12:37.8
GOES flare class	M7.7	M1.7	X1.7
WL altitude	\longrightarrow 824 \pm 70 km	$7\hat{9}\hat{9} \pm 70$ km	810 ± 70 km
WL radial extent (FWHM)	~862 km	~652 km	~839 km
HXR altitude	946 ± 103 km	$746\pm51~\mathrm{km}$	$722\pm140~\mathrm{km}$

Krucker et al. 2015

In University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

Previous studies on WL height



Emission heights (red: HXR, black: WL)

Heights in different studies disagree significantly (~800 km vs. 300 km) Spectra contain more information about WL mechanisms.

Continuum Emission

Some theory:



- electrons probably stopped in chromosphere
- hydrogen recombination (=jumps in spectra)

- backwarming may heat photosphere
- H⁻ and hydrogen continua

Flare Energetics

Open questions for flares:

- Where does the continuum radiation form?
- How is flare energy dissipated?
- What fraction of flare energy goes into radiation?

=> check emission in the continuum (and lines)



Flare Energetics

Stellar spectra have advantages: 1) wider spectral coverage, 2) larger field of view (whole star)



=> Combine multiple instruments for solar flare spectra

9 University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

Flare Energetics

Wide solar flare spectrum: Combine IRIS (UV), HMI (vis), FIRS (IR) and RHESSI (X-rays)

March 29, 2014 X1 flare



2014-03-29, X1 flare: IRIS & RHESSI

IRIS slit crossed HXR footpoint during X1 20140329.

HXR 30-70 keV: blue IRIS 1400 SJI: background image



N University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

IRIS: 2014-03-29 (X1.0), WL emission

Detection of the Balmer continuum.

The whole spectrum is enhanced at some locations.



Heinzel & Kleint, ApJL 794, 23, 2015



IRIS: 2014-03-29 (X1.0), WL emission

Detection of the Balmer continuum.

The whole spectrum is enhanced at some locations.



IRIS: 2014-03-29 (X1.0), WL emission

Detection of the Balmer continuum.

The whole spectrum is enhanced at some locations.



Continuum Emission: X1 Flare



NUV increases more than VIS+IR. Therefore Balmer continuum, not H⁻ in UV.

=> Continuum has contribution from H⁻ (VIS+IR)=photosphere and hydrogen recombination (UV)=chromosphere.

Kleint, Heinzel, Judge & Krucker, ApJ, 816, 88, 2016

Continuum Emission

A simple blackbody is not a good fit to the continuum!

Because continuum forms at different heights (temperatures). NUV => chromospheric Balmer cont.

Use radiative transfer modeling.



Continuum Emission



University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

Flare Energetics

How is flare energy dissipated?

Compare energy input derived from RHESSI (accelerated electrons) to **energy output** in continuum and line radiation.



Energy input: RHESSI

Input energy calculated from RHESSI (cutoff 20 keV): **3.5 × 10¹¹ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²**

valid for a time when RHESSI HXR and IRIS slit coincided.





Continuum Emission: X1 Flare

RHESSI (red) and IRIS slit (blue) coincided => input vs. output

Input energy calculated from IRIS SJI (aligned to AIA1600) 29-Mar-2014 17:46:23.27(RHESSI (cutoff 20 keV): 280 30-100 keV, contours=[40,55,70,85]% 29-Mar-2014 17:46:23.000+12 s $3.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ roll: 0.20 275 29-Mar-2014 17:46:13.500+12 s 270 (arcsecs) Energy losses in the continuum: $8 \times 10^{10} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ 265 260 => ~20% of input energy emitted 255 by continuum (method not 500 510 exact!) 520 530 X (arcsecs)

Future step: estimate radiation by spectral lines, heating

- Height of continuum: Contribution from photosphere and chromosphere. Energetics agree with backwarming model.
- Flare Energetics: can investigate Balmer continuum and white-light flares by combining instruments. ~20% of input energy [for cutoff 20 keV] goes into continuum radiation.

