

#### The electronic structure of pentacene monolayers on Cu(110) and Ag(110) surfaces: DFT and G<sub>0</sub>W<sub>0</sub> results vs. angle-resolved photoemission data

#### **Overview**



- DFT vs G<sub>0</sub>W<sub>0</sub> of different geometrical arrangements of pentacene molecules
- ARPES experiment
- 5A on Ag(110)
- 5A on Cu(110)
- Conclusion



#### **Isolated molecule**



Daniel Lüftner, Bayreuth, September 10 - 14, 2012

<sup>1</sup>Organic Molecular Solids, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, 2008, 217-305

<sup>2</sup> Scharifzadeh et al PRB 85, 125307 (2012)

### **Freestanding Monolayer**









#### **Crystal bulk structure**





### **ARPES** experiment



#### Conservation laws:

$$E_{kin} = h\nu - \phi - |E_i|$$

 $k_{i||} = k_{f||} = \sqrt{2mE_{kin}}\sin\Theta$ 





$$I(\Theta, \Phi; E_{kin}) \propto \sum_{i} \left| \langle \psi_{f}^{*}(\Theta, \Phi; E) | p \cdot A | \psi_{i} \rangle \right|^{2} \times \delta(E_{i} + \phi + E_{kin} - h\nu)$$

- **p** electronic momentum operator
- A electromagnetic vector potential

Interaction with photon treated as perturbation with Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\text{int}} = \frac{e}{2\text{mc}} (A \cdot p + p \cdot A) = \frac{e}{mc} A \cdot p$$
$$[p \cdot A] = -i \nabla \cdot A = 0$$

 $I(\Theta, \Phi; E_{kin}) \propto \sum_{i} \left| \langle \psi_{f}^{*}(\Theta, \Phi; E) | p \cdot A | \psi_{i} \rangle \right|^{2} \times \delta(E_{i} + \phi + E_{kin} - h\nu)$ 

plane wave

molecular orbital



 $I(\Theta, \Phi; E_{kin}) \propto \sum_{i} \left| \langle \psi_{f}^{*}(\Theta, \Phi; E) | p \cdot A | \psi_{i} \rangle \right|^{2} \times \delta(E_{i} + \phi + E_{kin} - h\nu)$ 





# $I(\Theta, \Phi; E_{kin}) \propto \sum_{i} \left| \langle \psi_{f}^{*}(\Theta, \Phi; E) | p \cdot A | \psi_{i} \rangle \right|^{2} \times \delta(E_{i} + \phi + E_{kin} - h\nu)$



# Momentum maps for isolated molecule

#### LUMO

#### HOMO





HOMO-1





HOMO-2





# Pentacene on Ag(110)

• Epitaxial matrix

 $M = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ 

from LEED measurments

• 5A molecules orientated along

[0 0 1] direction of Ag substrate

•  $d_{Ag-5A} = 2.6 [Å]$ 



# 5A / Ag(110): LUMO





# 5A / Ag(110): HOMO





# 5A / Ag(110): HOMO-1





# 5A / Ag(110): HOMO-2





# 5A / Ag(110): DOS



theory

experiment



Daniel Lüftner, Bayreuth, September 10 - 14, 2012

17

# Pentacene on Cu(110)



$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 6.5 & -1 \\ -0.5 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
  
from LEED measurement  
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

from Ref <sup>1</sup>

• 5A molecules orientated along

[1 -1 0] direction of Ag substrate

•  $d_{CU-5A} = 2.25 [Å]$ 



<sup>1</sup> Crystal Growth & Design 2011 11 (4), 1015-1020

5A / Cu(110): LUMO



# 5A / Cu(110): HOMO





## 5A / Cu(110): DOS





Daniel Lüftner, Bayreuth, September 10 - 14, 2012

21



Daniel Lüftner, Bayreuth, September 10 - 14, 2012

C



Daniel Lüftner, Bayreuth, September 10 - 14, 2012

#### add phase information

subtract background

-6

-8

-4

-2

8

6

4

2

0

0

2

2

Δ

6

8



Ag

Inverse Fourier Transformation

Cu





6

8

25



#### Conclusion



•  $G_0W_0$  improves DFT results as expected

• Theoretical approach works good for the studied systems

• Interaction of 5A on copper stronger than on silver



Daniel Lüftner, Bayreuth, September 10 - 14, 2012

UNI