Disentangling functional trait variation and covariation in epiphytic lichens along a continent-wide latitudinal gradient
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Characterizing functional trait variation and covariation, and its drivers, is critical to understand the response of species to changing environmental conditions. Evolutionary and environmental factors determine how traits vary among and within species at multiple scales. However, disentangling their relative contribution is challenging and a comprehensive trait–environment framework addressing such questions is missing in lichens. We investigated the variation in nine traits related to photosynthetic performance, water use and nutrient acquisition applying phylogenetic comparative analyses in lichen epiphytic communities on beech across Europe. These poikilohydric organisms offer a valuable model owing to their inherent limitations to buffer contrasting environmental conditions. Photobiont type and growth form captured differences in certain physiological traits whose variation was largely determined by evolutionary processes (i.e. phylogenetic history), although the intraspecific component was non-negligible. Seasonal temperature fluctuations also had an impact on trait variation, while nitrogen content depended on photobiont type rather than nitrogen deposition. The inconsistency of trait covariation among and within species prevented establishing major resource use strategies in lichens. However, we did identify a general pattern related to the water-use strategy. Thus, to robustly unveil lichen responses under different climatic scenarios, it is necessary to incorporate both among and within-species trait variation and covariation.

1. Introduction

Understanding how functional traits vary along ecological gradients is crucial to disentangle the response of species to environmental drivers under the current global change context. Functional traits exhibit a range of values that vary among and within species and across environmental gradients [1], and potentially affect the performance of individuals [2]. The patterns of functional trait variation not only inform about the impact of environmental changes on communities [3], but are also useful for assessing the effect of community changes on ecosystem processes [4].
2. Material and methods

(a) Collection sites and lichen sampling

The present study was carried out in 23 beech forests covering the whole latitudinal range of *F. sylvatica* in Europe (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). To reduce habitat differences, we selected mature and well-preserved forests at least 5 km away from each other, with a tree cover over 65%, and without tree cutting during the last 50 years. All forests harboured the lichen species *Lobaria pulmonaria* (L.) Hoffm. to ensure the survey of mature ephiphytic communities in the same successional stage [27], thus minimizing the differences related to the community development. The latitudinal gradient represented a large climatic gradient with mean annual temperature ranging from 3.9 to 11.9°C and total annual precipitation ranging from 563 to 1644 mm (electronic supplementary material, table S1). By studying ephiphytes on this single host species, we were able to: (i) control as much as possible the habitat differences not related with climate, and (ii) measure functional traits on individuals located at the extremes and at the core area of distribution of the host tree species.

Within each forest, we collected four thalli, whenever possible, of all macrolichen species found (i.e. large and conspicuous lichens) (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Samples were collected from beech trunks between June and September 2015 and 2016. After collection and before measurements, samples were air-dried, cleaned from debris and stored at −20°C [28]. Lichens were stored a maximum of two weeks between collection.
and storage in the freezer, and from one to three months from frozen storage until measurements.

(b) Functional traits measurement
We classified each species by two categorical functional traits: photobiont type and growth form (electronic supplementary material, table S3). We considered whether the lichens have cyanobacteria (cyanolichens, CY), chlorococcoid algae (chlorolichens, CHL) or both (tripartite lichens, TRI) as photobionts. We classified growth form in six categories (foliose broad-lobed, FB; foliose narrow-lobed, FN; fruticose dorsi-ventral, FRD; fruticose filamentous, FRF; mixed, MX; and squamulose, SQ) following Nims & Martelllos [29] with slight modifications (see the electronic supplementary material, table S3 for further details).

In addition, we measured nine quantitative functional traits in the sampled thalli (mean values in electronic supplementary material, table S3) related to photosynthetic performance (chlorophyll a (Chla), chlorophyll b (Chlb), and normalized phaeophytinization index, NPQ), water use (STM and WHC) and nutrient acquisition (%C and %N; carbon and nitrogen isotopic ratio, δ13C and δ15N). The number of measures varied depending on the species and functional trait considered (electronic supplementary material, table S4). Details of the protocols used for trait measurements are explained in the electronic supplementary material, Appendix S5.

(c) Phylogenetic analyses
We constructed a phylogenetic tree using four molecular markers (internal transcribed spacer region (nuITS), nuclear large subunit (nuLSU), mitochondrial small subunit (mtSSU) and largest subunit of the RNA polymerase II (RPB1)). For those species absent in GenBank, we generated the sequences following Prieto et al. [30] (23 newly produced sequences for 14 species, electronic supplementary material, table S6). For each molecular marker, we aligned the consensus sequences (MAFFT v. 7) and manually excluded the ambiguous regions and introns using MacClade v. 4.0.1. We analysed each individual gene region with a maximum likelihood (ML) approach implemented in RAxML v. 8.2.10 using a GTR+Γ model of evolution and 1000 bootstrap replicates. Because we did not find supported nodes in conflict, we combined the individual gene regions and ran a combined ML analysis. The RAxML analysis was run with five distinct partitions (nuITS, nuLSU, mtSSU, first and second codon positions of RPB1 and the third codon position of the RPB1) and the same settings as the individual gene analyses. All analyses were run on the CIPRES Science Gateway v. 3.1.

(d) Environmental drivers: climate and nitrogen deposition
Climatic variables for each forest were obtained from the high-resolution climate dataset CHELSA [31] including 19 bioclimatic variables related to temperature and precipitation. Climatic drivers were summarized using principal components analysis (PCA), with data standardized and using a varimax rotation. We obtained four summary gradients of climatic data that were used as explanatory variables in data analyses. These four PCA axes accounted for 92% of the variance and reflected gradients in temperature and temperature seasonality (33% of explained variance), precipitation (25%), precipitation seasonality (20%) and mean diurnal range and isothermality (14%) (electronic supplementary material, table S7).

Nitrogen deposition estimates at the study sites were obtained from the Meteorological Synthesizing Centre-West (MSC-W) of the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) model [32]. We used the estimations of nitrogen deposition for deciduous forests during 2017 with a resolution of 0.1° × 0.1° longitude–latitude (http://thredds.met.no/thredds/ncss/data/EMEP/2018_Reporting/EMEP01_L20IEV_r4_17a_year_2017met_10016emis.nc/dataset.html, electronic supplementary material, table S1). Total nitrogen deposition was calculated as the sum of dry and wet total deposition of oxidized nitrogen and total deposition of reduced nitrogen.

(e) Data analyses
We used R v. 3.4.0 (R Core Team, 2018) for data analyses and, when necessary, variables were transformed to meet normality (see figure captions). Because not all species found along the gradient were present in the 23 forests surveyed, we selected for analyses the 52 species present in at least three forests (1488 thalli belonging to three taxonomic orders with 5–80 thalli sampled per species) (electronic supplementary material, table S2).

(i) ‘Hard’ trait variation within functional groups
We assessed the trait differences between species with different photobiont types and growth forms using phylogenetic generalized least-squares linear models (PGLS), given the high phylogenetic signal obtained for the studied functional traits (Pagel’s Lambda (λ) close to 1, electronic supplementary material, table S8). We controlled the lack of independence of species with shared ancestry and optimized the branch length using ML to find the best value of lambda transformation [33]. We performed the PGLS linear models using the function pglss in the caper package. When significant differences were observed, we performed pairwise comparisons to unveil differences between groups. We calculated the phylogenetic signal for the quantitative traits with λ using the phylosig function in phytools package. To account for confounding effects between photobiont types and growth forms, we conducted variance partitioning analyses (further details in the electronic supplementary material, figure S2).

(ii) Trait variation and variance decomposition
We quantified the variation of each functional trait using the quartile coefficient of dispersion (QDC) calculated as the ratio between half the interquartile range ((Q3 − Q1)/2) and the average of the quartiles ((Q1 + Q3)/2) (electronic supplementary material, table S9). To assess the level at which trait variation occurs, we conducted a variance component analysis for each trait calculating their variance partitioning across order, species and population with the lme function in the nlme package. We used linear mixed-effects models with a fixed intercept and nested scales as random factors and we compared the magnitude of the random effects variance parameters across orders, species, populations (i.e. all thalli of a given species collected in different forests) and within populations or residual (i.e. the variance among thalli collected in the same population).

(iii) Trait variation in response to climate and nitrogen deposition
We examined the patterns of variation of each ‘hard’ functional trait across climatic (PCA axes, electronic supplementary material, table S7) and nitrogen deposition gradients while accounting for the phylogenetic covariances among species [34]. We fitted phylogenetic generalized linear mixed models (PGLMM) including species (1 sp., forest (1 site), phylogenetic covariance (1 sp., @site) and phylogenetic attraction (1 sp., @site) as random factors on the intercept [34]. The phylogenetic attraction describes that phylogenetically related species are more likely to occur in the same sites. Before fitting the models, we checked potential multicollinearity among independent variables using variance inflation factors [35]. PGLMMs were fitted using the communityPGLMM function in the phyr package (https://github.com/daijiang/phyr), with Gaussian family as error distribution for modelling and a likelihood-ratio test to assess the significance of fixed effects.
(iv) Trait covariation
We calculated Pearson correlation coefficients accounting for phylogenetic relatedness with restricted maximum likelihood for model fitting using the function corphylo in the ape package. We followed the method of Zheng et al. [36], which assumes Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes for trait evolution and allows the specification of trait measurement errors. We also performed Pearson correlations within species for each of the 16 most common species, sampled in at least 10 forests (rcorr function in the Hmisc package).

3. Results
(a) ‘Hard’ trait variation within functional groups
Phylogenetically controlled tests showed that the photobiont type and growth form were associated with differences in six of the nine quantitative traits (figure 1). Regarding the photobiont type, cyanolichens had the highest level of %N followed by tripartite and then chlorolichens. Further, cyano- and chlorolichens had higher δ^{13}C than tripartite lichens, while cyano- and tripartite lichens showed higher δ^{15}N than chlorolichens. In relation to growth form, we found significant differences in Chla, Chlb, δ^{15}N and WHC. Foliose broad-lobed and mixed lichens had the highest levels of Chla and Chlb. For δ^{15}N, fruticose lichens (dorsiventral and filamentous) had the lowest level of δ^{15}N. Finally, foliose narrow-lobed and broad-lobed had the highest and lowest WHC, respectively, while fruticose lichens (dorsiventral and filamentous) had intermediate WHC.

(b) Trait variation and variance decomposition
The total amount of variation (figure 2a) and the scales at which such variation occurs (figure 2b) differed among the studied traits. In all cases, excepting Chla and %C, order and species levels (interspecific variation) explained most of the variance. For six out of nine traits, variation among species (i.e. order + species) ranged from 71.5% in NPQI to 79.1% in %N, while variation within species (i.e. population + residual) ranged from 20.9% to 28.5% (figure 2b). In general, most trait variation occurred at the order level (figure 2b) in the most variable traits (%N and δ^{15}N; figure 2a), while the species level was the largest contributor to overall variation for Chlb, NPQI, STM, WHC and δ^{13}C (figure 2b).

Chla and %C showed an opposite pattern, with intraspecific variability accounting for 63.0% and 82.9% of total variation, respectively (figure 2b). For Chlb, variation was approximately equally distributed within (43.8%) and among species (56.2%) (figure 2b).

(c) Trait variation in response to climate and nitrogen deposition
We found significant effects of climate and nitrogen deposition on most functional traits. However, the relationships found were weak (figure 3; electronic supplementary material, table S10). Gradients in temperature fluctuation affected traits related with photosynthetic performance (i.e. Chlb), water use (i.e. STM, WHC) and nutrient uptake (i.e. %N, δ^{15}N). Thus, lichens with lower Chla, Chlb, %N and δ^{15}N; and with higher STM and WHC were associated with forests with high mean diurnal range and isothermality (figure 3). Further, increases in temperature and decreases in temperature seasonality increased δ^{13}C, while increases in precipitation seasonality reduced %C and...
increased $\delta^{15}$N (figure 3). Regarding the nitrogen deposition, we found a negative effect on traits related to water use and nutrient uptake: lichens located in forests with high nitrogen deposition had low STM and $\delta^{15}$C (figure 3).

(d) Trait covariation

We found different patterns of trait correlation when the 52 species were analysed together and when species were considered separately, implying that trait covariation differed among and within species (figure 4; electronic supplementary material, figure S3). Only the positive correlations STM–WHC and Chla–Chlb were consistent at both levels (i.e. among and within species; figure 4; electronic supplementary material, figure S3).

4. Discussion

In this study, we investigated the variation of nine functional traits of 52 epiphytic macrolichen species along an environmental gradient throughout Europe. After controlling for the dependence of the species because of shared ancestry, we found that ‘soft’ traits broadly used to characterize lichen functional groups (i.e. photobiont type and growth form) were associated with differences of certain ‘hard’ traits related to photosynthetic performance, water use and nutrient acquisition. According to our initial hypothesis, functional variation was distributed across scales, with order and species having the highest contribution to the overall variation. Although functional variation was higher among than within species, intraspecific variability...
HIGH ACCUMULATION OF NITROGEN IN EPHYTIC MARSH LIKHENS

Lichens passively acquire nitrogen, and other mineral nutrients, from wet or dry deposition excepting those species with cyanobacteria that also fix atmospheric nitrogen [39]. As found by Palmqvist et al. [37] in 75 lichen species from different biomes, cyanophytochlorolichens had the highest and the lowest nitrogen content, whereas tripartite lichens with green algae and cyanobacteria in cephalodia had intermediate nitrogen content, respectively. According to their different nitrogen metabolisms, with chlorolichens being limited in nitrogen supply, the lowest δ15N found in this group may reflect a higher ammonium uptake capacity likely to compensate for the lack of N2-fixation [40]. The efficiency of lichens at absorbing nutrients from atmospheric sources also depended on the growth form, and may be related to differences in the thallus surface-volume ratio [41]. As such, we found that fruticose lichens exhibited the lowest δ15N, probably owing to this high ratio that provides a high number of cation exchange sites, which help to take up high amounts of ammonium from the atmosphere [40,42]. In relation to carbon acquisition, less negative values of δ13C in cyanophytochlorolichens may reflect the presence of carbon-concentrating mechanisms, in contrast to tripartite lichens in which the mechanism of CO2 supply to the carboxilating system is entirely by diffusion [43].

Concerning growth form, apart from the lichen interception of elements from the atmosphere (as seen above), the morphology of the lichen thallus controlled the chlorophyll content and the WHC. First, foliose broad-lobed and mixed lichens had the highest levels of chlorophyll (a and b), which might reflect higher photosynthetic demands in these groups. Second, the WHC varied depending on thallus morphological constraints, probably reflecting the time needed to become hydrated and, consequently, physiologically active [38,44].

(a) ‘Soft’ traits captured the variation of certain ‘hard’ physiological traits in epiphytic lichens

Interestingly, ‘soft’ traits captured the variation of certain, but not all, ‘hard’ physiological traits, with photobiont type mainly related to nutrient acquisition traits and growth form also informing about nutrient use and photosynthetic performance. Thus, mean values of %N, δ15N and δ13C differed with photobiont type, while Chla, Chlb, δ15N and WHC varied according to growth form, and these associations were not the result of phylogenetic relatedness. Previous studies have identified photobiont type and growth form as easily notable traits with effects on nitrogen-fixation, and lichen nutrient and water status (e.g. [19,37,38]).

(b) Most functional trait variation occurred between orders and species

Our findings, together with previous studies on vascular plants [8], suggest that trait variation drivers act at different levels. As Coyle [45] found in temperate oak deciduous forests in North America, we also found high trait variation within taxa and a relatively low contribution of environmental conditions. In general, most trait variation occurred at order and species level (i.e. interspecific variation), which points out a strong phylogenetic control shaping the variation of the studied traits. On the contrary, we found that thalli of a given species collected in different forests along the gradient (i.e. population level) or collected in the same population (i.e. residual level) showed more similar trait values. This result suggests that the environmental conditions, or other factors such as genetic differences within species, had a lower contribution with respect to the other levels taken into account, at least in the studied deciduous temperate beech forests.

Regarding the traits showing this general pattern, %N and δ15N variation mostly occurred among orders. In line with Vidal-Russell & Messuti [46], who found that having cyanobacteria as the main photobiont has a strong phylogenetic signal, our results reflect the high conservatism of the photobiont partner within the three studied orders. Caliciales and Lecanorales had green algae versus Peltigerales with nitrogen-fixation by cyanobacteria apart from the general nitrogen inflow from deposition [47]. Conversely, variation in Chlb, NPQI, STM, WHC and δ13C was mainly observed at the species level, suggesting that the species-level quantification becomes necessary in these traits.

Moving forward from this general pattern with interspecific variation (i.e. order and species level) greatly contributing to trait variation in epiphytic macrolichens, we found that intraspecific variation (i.e. population and residual levels), also accounted for a substantial and variable amount of trait variation for some traits, mainly chlorophyll content.
and %C. For these two traits, we found higher trait variation within than among populations, suggesting that they may respond strongly to within-site environmental conditions. Consequently, our results support the general assumption that functional variation is higher among than within species, but also highlight the need to include the intraspecific variability as an important component of functional trait variation in macrolichens [11,19].

(c) Functional trait variation along environmental gradients responded to climate and nitrogen deposition

Even though traits responded weakly to the environmental variables studied, most of the traits were affected by climate, and two of them also responded to nitrogen deposition. Several traits were sensitive to variables characterizing climatic fluctuations, particularly in temperature, which may determine the conditions when the lichens activate. Our findings are consistent with Ellis et al. [48], who found that temperature and seasonality were the main predictors of photobiont type, growth form and size in epiphytic lichens. Thus, mean diurnal range and isothermality affected chlorophyll content, water-use traits, %N and δ15N. Lichens with lower Chla, Chlb, %N and δ15N; and with higher STM and WHC were associated with beech forests with high mean diurnal range and isothermality. These seasonal climatic effects may determine lichen physiological processes such as photosynthesis [49] or nitrogen-fixation [50]. Because the variation in the metabolic capacity can be attributed to differences in chlorophyll content [51], the decrease in chlorophyll probably results in a lower capacity for energy capture coupled to a lower energy consumption and lower respiration, which may explain the increase of STM. In this line, high climatic fluctuations may be related to longer periods in which lichens remain metabolically inactive and adopt a resource-conservative strategy leading to a reduction of photosynthetic capacity (i.e. chlorophyll content) and, consequently, of net carbon gain [52]. Several studies also support the latter idea and our results (i.e. temperature positively related to δ13C), not only in epiphytic but also in saxicolous lichens and biocrusts [53,54], where high temperatures increased δ13C because of higher respiration rates that led to a decrease of net carbon gain [37]. As pointed out before, lichen trait variation responded in a lesser extent to precipitation than to temperature. However, the lower %C with increasing precipitation seasonality, may also reflect a reduction of net carbon gain.

Regarding the nitrogen deposition, we found that lichens located in forests with high nitrogen deposition had low STM and δ13C, which reflects the growth-limiting effects of high nitrogen loads. From our point of view, the most important conclusions come from the fact that nitrogen deposition was not affecting %N. This suggests that variation in thallus nitrogen content is mainly determined by the photobiont type involved in the lichen symbiosis and its nitrogen-fixation ability rather than by the amount of nitrogen available in the atmosphere.

Figure 5. Summary of the main results for the four proposed hypotheses. (a) ‘Soft’ functional traits (photobiont type and growth form) capture the variation of %N, δ15N, δ13C, Chl and WHC (circle area). (b) Circle area is proportional to the amount of trait variation and colours denote the contribution of different drivers to the overall variation accounted for each trait. (c) Effect of climate and nitrogen deposition on trait variation. (d) The positive covariation related to water-use strategies between STM and WHC was consistent among and within species. Abbreviations follow figure 2. (Online version in colour.)
According to our initial hypothesis, lichen functional traits covariated, but the patterns of covariation differed among and within species, as shown in vascular plants [55], apart from the consistent positive correlations observed between STM–WHC and Chl a–Chl b. On the one hand, we identified a consistent trade-off related to water-use strategies, confirming that lichens with thicker thalli tend to hold more water per unit area, both among and within species [38,56], implying a trade-off between rapid moisture uptake (low STM and WHC) and conservative water storage strategy (high STM and WHC) [19]. On the other hand, we consistently found that thalli with high Chl a content also showed high Chl b, both among and within species. This correlation could be pointing to an adaptation of lichens growing within shady beech forests in which lichens optimize light harvesting under low irradiances [49].

Thus, although we identified a consistent trade-off related to water-use strategies, we found no evidence of strong and consistent trait covariation defining overall resource use strategies in relation to photosynthetic performance and nutrient acquisition. Further research including a wider set of functional traits and species from other environments, and integrating among and within-species trait comparisons, is needed to show the extent to which trait covariation can be used to identify major resource use strategies in lichens, as observed in vascular plants [10,14].
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