

# Note: A necessary condition for symmetric completely mixed Nash-equilibria\*

Florian Herold<sup>†</sup> and Christoph Kuzmics<sup>‡</sup>

August 17, 2017

## Abstract

We establish a necessary condition for an arbitrary finite symmetric two player game to have a symmetric completely mixed Nash equilibrium.

Keywords: mixed Nash-equilibria

JEL-Code: C72

## 1 Definitions and Results

Consider an arbitrary finite symmetric two player game with  $n \times n$  payoff matrix  $A$ . Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$  denote a mixed strategy. In a symmetric completely mixed Nash equilibrium of such a game all pure strategies must earn the same expected payoff. More precisely, a mixed

---

\*The research leading to these results has received funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under REA grant agreement PCIG11-GA-2012-322253. Florian Herold gratefully acknowledges this support. This work was also supported by the COLIBRI initiative of the Karl-Franzens University of Graz, Austria.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Bamberg, Feldkirchenstr. 21, 96045 Bamberg, Germany, florian.herold@uni-bamberg.de

<sup>‡</sup>Universität Graz, christoph.kuzmics@uni-graz.at

strategy profile  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$  with is a symmetric completely mixed Nash equilibrium if and only if  $x_i > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$ , and

$$(1) \quad Ax = (c, c, \dots, c)^T,$$

for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In order to rephrase this we need a few definitions. For any  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ , let  $D = D(A)$  denote  $A$ -induced payoff difference matrix given by the  $(m-1) \times n$  matrix obtained from  $A$  as follows.<sup>1</sup> The  $k$ -th row of  $D$  is the difference between rows  $k$  and  $k+1$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . Further, denote by  $\bar{D} = \bar{D}(A)$  the  $m \times n$  matrix coincides with  $D$  for the first  $m-1$  rows and has the unit vector (vector of all ones) in row  $m$  and define  $b = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Further, we write  $x \geq 0$  iff  $x_i \geq 0 \forall 0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\exists i$  such that  $x_i > 0$ . We write  $x > 0$  iff  $x_i > 0 \forall 0 \leq i \leq n$ .

A vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  represents a completely mixed Nash equilibrium of the finite symmetric two player game with  $n \times n$  payoff matrix  $A$  game if  $x > 0$  (that is each coordinate satisfies  $x_i > 0$ ) and

$$(2) \quad \bar{D}x = b.$$

We can break down this characterization into two conditions:

**Lemma 1.** *A vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  represents a completely mixed Nash equilibrium of the finite symmetric two player game with  $n \times n$  payoff matrix  $A$  if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

(I) **Equal Payoff Condition**

$$\bar{D}x = b \text{ and } x \geq 0.$$

(II): **Full Support Condition**

$$x_i > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The proof is obvious. The next lemma characterizes when there exists an solution  $x$  satisfying the Equal Payoff Condition (I) - generalized to an arbitrary  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ :

---

<sup>1</sup>For the payoff matrix of a symmetric game  $m = n$ .

**Lemma 2.**

(3)  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\bar{D}x = b, x \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \nexists w \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$  such that  $w^T D < 0$ .

Lemma 2 can equivalently be stated in the following form:<sup>2</sup>

**Lemma 3.**

(4)  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\bar{D}x = b, x \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \nexists w \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$  such that  $w^T D > 0$ .

Proof of Lemma 2:

Farkas' lemma states that either  $\bar{D}x = b, x \geq 0$  has a solution  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $v^T \bar{D} \leq 0, v^T b > 0$  has a solution  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , but not both.<sup>3</sup>

“Only if”: By Farkas' lemma the existence of a solution to the Equal Payoff Condition (I) implies that there is no  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $v^T b > 0$  such that  $v^T \bar{D} \leq 0$ . Note that the condition  $v^T b > 0$  is satisfied if and only if  $v_m > 0$ . Let  $w = w(v)$  be the vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{(m-1)}$  that consists of the first  $m - 1$  coordinates of  $v$ . Note that the condition  $v^T \bar{D} \leq 0$  is satisfied if and only if  $w^T D \leq -v_m$ .

Thus, there exists a solution to the Equal Payoff Condition (I) only if there is no  $(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, v_m)$  such that  $w^T D \leq -v_m$  with  $v_m > 0$ .

This implies that there is no  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$  with  $w^T D < 0$ .

“If”: Suppose  $\nexists w \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$  such that  $w^T D < 0$ .

Then for all  $v_m > 0$  there is no  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$  such that  $w^T D \leq -v_m$ .

This implies that  $\nexists v = (v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $v^T \bar{D} \leq 0, v^T b > 0$ .

This implies by Farkas' lemma the Equal Payoff Condition (I). QED

A few more definitions are necessary. For an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  let  $\text{col}(A)$  denote the set of column vectors of  $A$ . For any vector  $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$  let  $\text{HS}(a)$  denote the *half space* induced by  $a$ , given by the set of all vectors  $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $v^T a \leq 0$ . For an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  let  $\text{HS}(A) = \bigcup_{a \in \text{col}(A)} \text{HS}(a)$  denote the union of all half spaces of columns of  $A$ . Note that for every

---

<sup>2</sup>Just set  $w' = -w$ .

<sup>3</sup>See Farkas (1901) or read e.g. Vohra (2005) for a modern textbook treatment of Farkas' lemma.

element  $v \in \text{HS}(A)$  there is a  $a \in \text{col}(A)$  such that  $v^T a \leq 0$ . In fact:

$$(5) \quad v \in \text{HS}(A) \Leftrightarrow \exists_{a \in \text{col}(A)} \text{ such that } v^T a \leq 0.$$

Now, we provide a necessary condition for the Equal Payoff Condition (I).

**Lemma 4.** *Consider a finite symmetric two player normal form game with  $n \times n$  payoff matrix  $A$ . The Equal Payoff Condition (I) has a solution  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  only if  $\text{HS}(D(A)) = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , i.e. the union of half-spaces induced by the set of columns of the payoff difference matrix  $D$  covers the whole set  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .*

Proof: The existence of a solution  $x$  to the Equal Payoff Condition (I) implies, by Lemma 3 that for every  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  there must also exist a vector  $d' \in \text{col}(D)$  such that  $w^T d' \leq 0$ . Thus,  $w \in \text{HS}(D)$ . This implies  $\text{HS}(D) = \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)}$ . QED

Our main results follow now immediately from Lemmata 1 and 4:

**Proposition 1.** *Consider a finite symmetric two player normal form game with  $n \times n$  payoff matrix  $A$ . If this game has a symmetric completely mixed Nash equilibrium then  $\text{HS}(D(A)) = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , i.e. the union of half-spaces induced by the set of columns of the payoff difference matrix covers the whole set  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .*

Proposition 1 and Lemma 2 turned out to be helpful to prove some results in a recent paper of ours (Herold and Kuzmics (2017)) and we hope they turn out to be useful for other game theorists as well.

## References

- FARKAS, G. (1901): "Theorie der Einfachen Ungleichungen," *J.Reine Angew. Math.*, 124, 1–27.
- HEROLD, F., AND C. KUZMICS (2017): "The evolution of taking roles," Mimeo.
- VOHRA, R. V. (2005): *Advanced Mathematical Economics*. Routledge, New York, NY.